



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/893,265	06/26/2001		Tony Cheng	IGYG:002	1889
7:	590	11/12/2004		EXAM	INER
HOWREY LI ATTORNEYS			WOO, ISAAC M		
750 Bering Drive				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77057-2198			2162		
		•	DATE MAIL ED. 11/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(a)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/893,265	CHENG ET AL.
	Examiner Isaac M Woo	Art Unit 2162
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		
THE REPLY FILED 02 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceliNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>See</u>	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-13 and 18-20</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied on is a)	oved or b) disapproved by t	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	-01
10 ☐ Other:		4

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) JE/WM. CORRIELUS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The applicant's arguments (filed on 11/02/2004) are not persuasive. Because Lynch and/or Reese disclose or suggest claimed limitations as discussed on final rejection mailed on 07/27/2004. Thus, disclosed invention is not in condition for allowance.